

ON THE NUMBER OF METASTABLE STATES IN A STRIPE GLASS

JÖRG SCHMALIAN and HARRY WESTFAHL JR.

*Department of Physics and Astronomy and Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University
 Ames, Iowa 50011, USA*

and

PETER G. WOLYNES

*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego
 La Jolla, California 92093, USA*

Received (received date)

Revised (revised date)

We estimate the number of metastable states of a self generated stripe glass, relevant for the formation of glassy doped Mott insulators. Using replica bound states, we demonstrate that the configurational entropy is the difference between the entropy of the stripe liquid and of an amorphous stripe solid with phonon-type excitations. Using simple scaling laws we then determine the relationship between the modulation length and the configurational entropy.

Keywords: Stripe glass, doped Mott insulator, configurational entropy

Competing interactions on different length scales are able to stabilize mesoscale phase separations and spatial inhomogeneities in a wide variety of systems. One important example in the context of correlated electron systems is the formation of stripes in doped Mott insulators, as found in transition metal oxides.^{1,2} The formation of a perfectly ordered array of stripes is however undermined by long range frustrating interactions.³ Very often, a long time dynamics emerges similar to the relaxation seen in glasses.^{4–9} In particular the slow, activated dynamics as obtained in NMR experiments exhibits a striking universality, rather independent on the details of added impurities etc.^{7,9} This suggests that glassiness in these systems is *self generated* and does not rely on the presence of quenched disorder, which will generally further stabilize a glassy state.

Recently, two of us presented a theory for self generated randomness caused by the competition between local phase separation and a global long range interaction between domains of different charge¹⁰. Using a replica approach developed for the investigation of structural glasses^{11,12} and a numerical solution of the resulting many body problem it was demonstrated that a finite configurational entropy density, S_c/V , emerges at low temperatures. $S_c = k_B \log \mathcal{N}_{ms}$ is determined by the number of metastable states, $\mathcal{N}_{ms}$, of the system. A finite S_c/V implies that

an exponential large (with the system size, V) number of metastable states exists. This is generally considered as a sign for the onset of glassy dynamics.¹⁴ Given this enormous amount of metastable states, separated by high barriers, it becomes impossible for the system to explore the entire phase space and it gets trapped into metastable states, losing the contribution S_c of its entropy.

The model studied in Ref.¹⁰, which characterizes a uniformly frustrated system with competition on different length scales, is given by³:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left\{ r\varphi(\mathbf{x})^2 + (\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}))^2 + \frac{u}{2}\varphi(\mathbf{x})^4 \right\} + \frac{Q}{2} \int d^3x d^3x' \frac{\varphi(\mathbf{x})\varphi(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ characterizes charge degrees of freedom, with $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ in a hole-rich region, $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ in a hole poor region, and $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ if the local density equals the averaged one. If $r < 0$ the system tends to phase separate since we have to guarantee charge neutrality $\langle\varphi\rangle = 0$. The coupling constant, Q , is a measure for the frustration between this short range coupling and the long range Coulomb interaction. An RPA-type analysis of the correlation function for a system with Hamiltonian, Eq.1, yields modulated structures, characterized by a modulation length, l_m , and an overall correlation length, ξ .¹³ Glassiness occurs for $\xi \gg l_m$.¹⁰ In this regime the modulation wave number is given by $q_m \equiv \frac{2\pi}{l_m} \sim Q^{1/4}$.

As discussed in Ref.¹⁰ S_c can be determined, following Ref. ^{11,12}, from the m -times replicated partition function

$$Z(m) = \lim_{g \rightarrow 0} \int D^m\varphi \exp \left(- \sum_{a=1}^m \mathcal{H}[\varphi^a] / T - \frac{g}{2m} \sum_{a,b=1}^m \int d^d x \varphi^a(\mathbf{x}) \varphi^b(\mathbf{x}) \right) \quad (2)$$

via

$$S_c = - \left. \frac{\partial \log Z(m)/m}{\partial m} \right|_{m=1}. \quad (3)$$

Here, g is an infinitesimally small symmetry breaking field which causes a weak coupling between different replicas. Similar to the case of a small magnetic field at a ferromagnetic phase transition, g drives a glassy system into a state with finite configurational entropy even in the limit $g \rightarrow 0$.

In this paper we demonstrate that a very transparent insight into the physical role of the configurational entropy can be obtained following the concept of replica bound states.¹² Let $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{a=1}^m \varphi^a(\mathbf{x})$ be the averaged configuration in replica space such that

$$\varphi^a(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{r}) + \psi^a(\mathbf{x}) \quad (4)$$

with additional condition $\sum_{a=1}^m \psi^a(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. In the liquid state, the different replica configurations are completely independent and there is no inter-replica correlation in the system. On the other hand, within a glassy state (which we assume to exist based on our earlier results) one expects that the various replicas of the system are tightly bound. Then, the deviations, ψ^a , from the averaged replica configurations are small compared to Φ , allowing a harmonic approximation.¹² Inserting Eq.4 into

the Hamiltonian of the system yields an effective propagator of the $(m-1)$ replica fluctuations

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}'}^{-1}[\Phi] = (r - \nabla^2 + 3u\Phi^2(\mathbf{x})) \delta_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}'} + Q/|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|, \quad (5)$$

which depends on Φ . The Gaussian integral with respect to the ψ^a can be performed and one can express $Z(m)$ in terms of Φ only: $Z(m) = \int D\Phi e^{-\frac{m}{T}\mathcal{H}[\Phi] - \frac{m-1}{2}\text{tr} \ln \mathcal{G}^{-1}[\Phi]}$. It is now straightforward to determine the configurational entropy using Eq.3. It follows

$$S_c = S_{\text{liq.}} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle \text{tr} \ln \mathcal{G}[\Phi] \rangle - V \right). \quad (6)$$

The first term is the entropy of a stripe-liquid. The second term is the entropy of harmonic excitations relative to the slowly varying field Φ , where N is the system size. We interpret $S_{\text{sol.}} = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \text{tr} \ln \mathcal{G}[\Phi] \rangle + V$ as the entropy of an amorphous stripe solid (the stripe glass) with phononic excitations. As expected S_c is the difference of the liquid entropy and the entropy of the amorphous solid.¹²

In order to estimate for the value of S_c we proceed as follows. In the liquid state we assume that the entropy is determined by an effective Gaussian behavior, i.e. $S_{\text{liq.}} = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \text{tr} \ln \mathcal{G}_0 \rangle + V$ with liquid state correlation function $\mathcal{G}_0$, where $\Phi^2(\mathbf{r}) \simeq \langle \Phi^2 \rangle$. The amorphous solid on the other hand can be imagined as a liquid with additional confining potential

$$W(\mathbf{x}) = 3u [\Phi^2(\mathbf{r}) - \langle \Phi^2 \rangle]. \quad (7)$$

This confining potential reduces the degrees of freedom relative to the liquid state and therefore determines the amount of entropy lost in a glassy state, i.e. the configurational entropy. Using $\mathcal{G}[\Phi]^{-1} = \mathcal{G}_0^{-1} + W$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_c &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle \text{tr} \ln (1 + W\mathcal{G}_0) \rangle \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{4} \int d^3r d^3r' \langle W(\mathbf{x}) W(\mathbf{x}') \rangle \mathcal{G}_0(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we restrict ourselves for the moment to the lowest order contribution. Higher order contributions will be discussed below. A simple mean field analysis of Eq.1 suggests that the most important configurations of $W(\mathbf{x})$ behave like $W(\mathbf{x}) = q_m^2 f(q_m x)$ with dimensionless function f . Thus, the correlation function $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') = \langle W(\mathbf{x}) W(\mathbf{x}') \rangle$ behaves like $\mathcal{R}(x) = q_m^4 \tilde{f}(q_m x)$. On the other hand we know that the liquid state correlation function has a scaling behavior $\mathcal{G}_0(x) = q_m g(q_m x)$ if $q_m \gg \xi^{-1}$. Both, $\tilde{f}$ and g are dimensionless functions as well. Inserting these results into Eq.8 gives

$$S_c = CV(aq_m)^3 \sim VQ^{3/4} \quad (9)$$

with dimensionless constant C and length scale a of the order of the inter-atomic distance. In agreement with the numerical results of Ref.¹⁰ we find that the configurational entropy vanishes for $Q \rightarrow 0$. The larger the modulation length $l_m \sim q_m^{-1}$,

the smaller is the number of metastable states for obvious geometrical reasons. This gives a clear physical picture for the physical origin of the available number of metastable states within a stripe glass. Note, our result, Eq.9 is not altered if one goes beyond the leading term of the expansion of $\ln(1 + V\mathcal{G}_0)$. A diagrammatic expansion immediately shows that a contribution of order n contains n integrations like $\int d^3x \dots$ as well as a term which behaves as $\mathcal{R}^{n/2}\mathcal{G}_0^n$. This leads to a dependence on the modulation length as $(q_0^A)^{n/2} q_0^n q_0^{-3(n-1)} = q_0^3$ where we took into account that one of the x - integrations gives an overall volume, V . Thus, Eq.9 holds to arbitrary order of the expansion.

In summary, we obtained an estimate for the configurational entropy of a stripe glass. S_c is the difference between the entropy of the liquid and the glass with harmonic phonon-like excitations. We analyzed this result by assuming that the amorphous solid can be generated "perturbatively" from the liquid state due to an additional confining potential, which reduces the degrees of freedom of the solid. Using scaling laws for the relevant correlation functions we then found a relationship between S_c and the stripe modulation length.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Institute for Complex Adaptive Matter, by NSF (P.G.W.), Grant No. ChE-9530680 and by Ames Laboratory (J.S.+H.W.Jr.), operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-82.

1. J. H. Cho, F. C. Chou, and D. C. Johnston, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 222 (1993).
2. J. M. Tranquada, B. J. Sternlieb, J. D. Axe, Y. Nakamura, and S. Uchida, Nature **375**, 561 (1995).
3. V. J. Emery and S. A. Kivelson, Physica **209C**, 597 (1993).
4. J. H. Cho, F. Borsa, D. C. Johnston, and D. R. Torgeson, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 3179 (1992).
5. S. H. Lee and S. W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2514 (1997).
6. J. M. Tranquada, N. Ichikawa, and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. **59**, 14712 (1999).
7. M.-H. Julien, F. Borsa, P. Carretta, M. Horvatic, C. Berthier, and C. T. Lin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 604 (1999).
8. A. W. Hunt, P. M. Singer, K. R. Thurber, and T. Imai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4300 (1999).
9. N. J. Curro, P. C. Hammel, B. J. Suh, M. Hückler, B. Büchner, U. Ammerahl, and A. Revcolervschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 642 (2000).
10. J. Schmalian and P. G. Wolynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 836 (2000).
11. R. Monnason, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2875 (1995).
12. M. Mezard and G. Parisi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 747 (1999).
13. Z. Nussinov, J. Rudnick, S. A. Kivelson, L. N. Chayes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 472 (1999).
14. T. R. Kirkpatrick and P. G. Wolynes, Phys. Rev. A **35**, 3072 (1987), T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Thirumalai, and P. G. Wolynes, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 1045 (1989); T. R. Kirkpatrick and P. G. Wolynes, Phys. Rev. B **36**, 8552 (1987); T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Thirumalai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 2091 (1987).